JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	3				
Beth E. Doyle, Individually Elaine Brown, Deceased	y and as Executrix of	Neshaminy Manor, Inc.							
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff E	ssex		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Bucks					
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
				NOTE: IN LAND CO	ONDEMNATI Γ OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TE NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION O)F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Aaron D. Rihn, Esquire	Take to Secure 1 (1) to 1 (1)	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Robert Peirce & Associate 707 Grant Street, Suite 25		5219							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in (One Box f	or Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government	⋨ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box fo		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz		11 2 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	X 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	≮ 2 □ 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	5
				en or Subject of a	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						here for: Nature of			
CONTRACT	Parameter Programme and Company of the Company of t	DEDCONAL INHID		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	- Perocolation to	NKRUPTCY	OTHER S		ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -	Y 02	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With		☐ 376 Qui Tam	(31 USC	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	15 Airplane Product Product Liability		00 Other	28 U	JSC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				RTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust	t	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Pater		 ☐ 430 Banks ar ☐ 450 Commer 		g
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	ı		☐ 835 Pater	nt - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportat	tion	21 120
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			New 840 Trad	Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketee	er Influenc Organizati	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 480 Consum	er Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	O 71	10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff) k Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sa☐ 850 Securitie		dities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	□ 72	20 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchang	ge	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	0.7/	Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSII ☐ 865 RSI		☐ 890 Other Sta		tions
D 170 Halleliise	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical	B oos Roi	(105(6))	☐ 893 Environn	nental Mat	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS II 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom	of Inform	nation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		P1 Employee Retirement		es (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrati	on	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee	200	Income Security Act		efendant)	☐ 899 Adminis		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	e			—Third Party JSC 7609	Act/Revi	iew or App Decision	pear or
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General		WAR GOD LOWON			950 Constitu		of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application	n		State Sta	tutes	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth		55 Other Immigration					
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)								
		Remanded from	□ 4 Rein	nstated or	ferred from	☐ 6 Multidistr		Multidis	
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reo		er District	Litigation Transfer	-	Litigatio Direct Fi	in - ile
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	42 U.S.C Š1983					0550			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Deprivation of Civ								
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	v D	EMAND S	(CHECK YES only	if demanded in	complai	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			>\$75,000.00		URY DEMAND:		□No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	1 6					
01/08/2017		Dr. Harn	M	2- 15ms/					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	O D D D	A DOLLATING TOO	E-E-1	II II CO	rost	VII.0			71

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	used by counsel to indicate the cat	egory of the case for the purpose of		
Address of Plaintiff: 6 Fairview Farm Road, Haverhill, MA 01832				
Address of Defendant: 1660 Easton Road, Warrington, PA 18976				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1660 Easton Road, Warrington, P.A. (Use Reverse Side For Ac				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation ar	d any publicly held corporation owni	ng 10% or more of its stock?		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))		NoX		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□	No⊠		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	265 VON 46 V			
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	ar previously terminated action in this	court?		
	Yes□	No X		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court?	it pending or within one year previou	isly terminated		
	Yes□	NoX		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n		rear previously No 🕅		
terminated action in this court?	Yes□	NOVA		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual?			
	Yes□	No X		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cas	es:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	 □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 			
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal In	jury		
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
7. X Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability			
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity	Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)				
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate Ca I, D. Aaron Rihn ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate Ca x counsel of record do hereby certif X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	tegory) y:	s civil action case exceed the sum of		
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	sener, the damages recoverable in this	, c ii action case exceed the sum of		
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE: January 8, 2018 D. Ann Pehr 15~	8575			
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	A	ttorney I.D.# 38.		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously termina	ted action in this court		
except as noted above.	-1			
DATE: January 8, 2018 D. Aon Ruh / Sry Attorney at Lay	8575	orney I D #		

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

BETH E. DOYLE, Individually and as

(Civ. 660) 10/02			
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
412-281-729	412-281-4229	arihn@peircelaw.com	
January 8, 2018 Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
January 9, 2019	D Agam R.L	(Smg/ Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(X)
commonly referred to a		racks (a) through (d) that are ecial or intense management by led explanation of special	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal inj	ury or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	aired to be designated for a	rbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review of a decenying plaintiff Social Secur	ision of the Secretary of Health rity Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management Track Des we a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant doe shall, with its first appearant arties, a Case Management	ay Reduction Plan of this court, counsing a signation Form in all civil cases at the time (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the result in the set of a submit to the clerk of court and set of the signation Form specifying the signed.	me of everse g said rve on
NESHAMINY MANOR, IN	VC.,	NO.	
Deceased v.	ELAINE BROWN, :		
BETH E. DOYLE, Individu Executrix of the Estate of E		CIVIL ACTION	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BETH E. DOYLE, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ELAINE BROWN, Case No.: Deceased.

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

vs.

NESHAMINY MANOR, INC.,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

AND NOW, comes the Plaintiff, Beth E. Doyle, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Elaine Brown, Deceased, by and through her undersigned counsel, D. Aaron Rihn, Esquire and the law firm of Robert Peirce & Associates, P.C., and claims damages of the Defendant, Neshaminy Manor, Inc., a skilled nursing facility, for unlawful deprivations of Decedent's federal rights guaranteed by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987 ("OBRA"); the Federal Nursing Home Reform Act ("FNHRA"); 42 U.S.C. § 1396r, et al.; and the implementing regulations found at 42 C.F.R. § 483, et al, enforceable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and in support thereof, avers as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

This is a proceeding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to remedy violations of duties under the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987, the Federal Nursing Home Reform Act, the Federal Nursing Home Regulations, as found at 42 C.F.R. § 483, and the Constitution of the United States of America.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. As the instant case presents issues of federal law, jurisdiction is proper in the forum as a federal question, pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1331.
- 2. Venue lies within the judicial district, since all of the actions complained of herein occurred within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 3. The Plaintiff, Beth E. Doyle, is an adult individual residing at 6 Fairview Road, Haverhill, Massachusetts 01832. Plaintiff is the Executrix of the Estate of Elaine Brown.
 - 4. Beth E. Doyle is the daughter of Decedent, Elaine Brown.
- 5. Plaintiff brings her action as the personal representative of Decedent, Elaine Brown, on her own behalf and on behalf of all those entitled by law to recover damages for the wrongful death of decedent Elaine Brown.
- 6. The Defendant, Neshaminy Manor, Inc. operates a long-term care, skilled nursing facility located at 1660 Easton Road, Warrington, Bucks County, Pennsylvania 18976.
- 7. Defendant Neshaminy Manor, Inc. is owned and operated by Bucks County, Pennsylvania, and as such, is acting under color of state law.
- 8. Defendant Neshaminy Manor, Inc. is owned and operated by Bucks County, Pennsylvania, and as such, is a governmental agency.
- 9. Defendant Neshaminy Manor, Inc. shall hereinafter be referred to as "Neshaminy Manor" for the remainder of the Complaint.

- 10. At all times relevant hereto, Neshaminy Manor, was a "skilled nursing facility" as that term is defined at 42 U.S.C. § 1395I-3.
- 11. At all times relevant hereto, Neshaminy Manor operated as a "long-term care nursing facility" as that term is defined in 35 P.S. § 448.802(a).
- 12. In addition to the other claims asserted herein, Plaintiff is asserting a professional liability claim against Neshaminy Manor.
- 13. At all times relevant hereto, Neshaminy Manor was acting independently and by and through its duly authorized agents, servants and/or employees who were then and there acting within the course and scope of their employment.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 14. Elaine Brown was admitted to Penn Presbyterian Medical Center from December 6, 2015 to December 17, 2015 due to increased shortness of breath, pneumonia, sepsis and rib fractures.
 - 15. Ms. Brown was discharged home with hospice care.
- 16. When she improved clinically at home, Ms. Brown was interested in entering a rehabilitation center to gain strength in order to return to independent living.
- 17. As such, Ms. Brown became a resident of the Neshaminy Manor facility on January 7, 2016, for rehabilitation therapy to obtain therapies needed to enable her to return home to her husband.
- 18. At the time of Ms. Brown's admission to Neshaminy Manor, she was in a clinically stable condition.

- 19. Upon admission, her orders included: daily vital checks; dysphagia therapy five times per week for eight weeks; she was placed on full liquid plus nectar liquids for potential of aspiration; and was prescribed Lasix 20 mg tablet one per day for increased secretions.
- 20. Ms. Brown's vitals at 1:31 p.m. on day of admission were: pulse 108; blood pressure 113/68; weight 90.1 lbs.
- 21. Nursing notes from the day of her admission indicate that Ms. Brown was incontinent of both bowel and bladder; however, there was no documentation of the amount of intake or the number of briefs/diapers used for the day.
- 22. On January 8, 2016, a new order for Lasix 20mg orally twice daily was written due to edema.
- 23. Nursing notes again indicated Ms. Brown was incontinent of both bowel and bladder; however, there was no documentation of the amount of intake or the number of briefs/diapers used for the day.
- 24. On that date, Ms. Brown's vitals were taken at 7:14 a.m.: pulse 108; blood pressure 90/51; weight 97.1 lbs. an increase of 7 lbs. in one day.
- 25. Nursing notes entered at 2:21 p.m. indicate Ms. Brown had a very poor appetite and only accepted small amounts of fluid.
 - 26. On January 9, 2016, new orders for Roxanel, Xanex and Benadryl were entered.
- 27. Nursing notes again indicated Ms. Brown was incontinent of both bowel and bladder; however, there was no documentation of the amount of intake or the number of briefs/diapers used for the day.
 - 28. In the evening, Ms. Brown refused her Fluticasone stating that her mouth was dry.

- 29. Her vitals at 9:10 p.m.: pulse 114 and blood pressure 135/76.
- 30. On January 10, 2016, Ms. Brown again refused her Fluticasone and there was no documentation of the amount of intake or output for the day.
- 31. On January 11, 2016, orders were entered to increase the Lasix to 30mg twice daily and once again, there was no documentation for the amount of intake or output for the day.
- 32. On January 12, 2016, Ms. Brown was transported to Doylestown Hospital due to black colored stool. It was determined that she was dehydrated and had a Sodium level of 149.
- 33. Ms. Brown was treated with IV fluids at the hospital and returned to Neshaminy Manor with orders to increase her fluid intake.
- 34. Neshaminy Manor had no documentation for Ms. Brown's intake or output for that day.
- 35. On January 14, 2016, Ms. Brown weighed 86.6 lbs. a loss of 10.5 lbs. in one week.
- 36. On January 15, 2016, it was noted that Ms. Brown exhibited labored breathing, her pulse was 118, blood pressure was 80.54 and her respiratory rate was 30.
- 37. Ms. Brown's daughter and her physician were notified, and she was transferred to Doylestown Hospital.
- 38. Upon her arrival to the hospital, Ms. Brown was found to be severely dehydrated and hypernatremic, with a Sodium level of 159.
- 39. Ms. Brown continued to decline and at 5:10 p.m. she was less responsive, her blood pressure was very low and she was tachycardic.

- 40. The hospital staff discussed Ms. Brown's critical status with her husband, at which time he requested to cease all treatments.
 - 41. On January 16, 2016, Ms. Brown passed away.
- 42. During her admissions to Neshaminy Manor, Ms. Brown exhibits signs and symptoms of becoming dehydrated, including an increase in heart rate, a systolic blood pressure less than 100 and refusing medications because her mouth was dry.
- 43. In addition, dehydration is a potential side effect with the usage of Benadryl and Lasix as they are known to cause further depletion of fluid stores in the body.
- 44. Despite these risks, Neshaminy Manor nursing staff failed to monitor Ms. Brown for signs and symptoms of dehydration and failed to notify the physician when it was clear that Ms. Brown was in fact dehydrated.
- 45. Care plans are designed to ensure that all members of the nursing staff and health care team can quickly assess a resident's present needs and determine what interventions are to be implemented for that particular resident.
- 46. However, the care plans developed by Neshaminy Manor for Ms. Brown was inaccurate and/or incomplete.
- 47. As a result, the Neshaminy Manor care plans did not address the relevant care needs of Ms. Brown, and appropriate interventions were not implemented to address her potential risks for harm.
- 48. Defendant, Neshaminy Manor, as well as its employees and agents, had a duty to ensure that all persons providing care within the facility were competent to provide that care.

- 49. At all relevant times material hereto, Defendant Neshaminy Manor, its agents and employees owed a duty not to violate the federally protected legal rights of any resident, and had a duty to comply with all provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987/Federal Nursing Home Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1396r, and the implementing regulations found at 42 C.F.R. §483, et seq.
- 50. The above noted statutes and regulations are designed and intended to protect the interests of person such as Elaine Brown, who are residing in facilities such as Neshaminy Manor.
- 51. The above noted statutes and regulations are designed and intended to protect persons such as Elaine Brown against the hazards she encountered and the harm, and untimely death, she suffered while residing at Neshaminy Manor.
- 52. Defendant Neshaminy Manor, as well as its employees and agents, had a duty to formulate, adopt and enforce adequate rules and policies to ensure quality care for residents such as Elaine Brown.

COUNT I

Deprivation of Civil Rights Enforceable via 42 U.S.C. §1983 – Wrongful Death

- 53. All preceding paragraphs of the Complaint are incorporated herein, as if set forth more fully at length.
- 54. Defendant Neshaminy Manor is an agent of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and at all times relevant to the Complaint, was acting under the color of state law.
- 55. Defendant Neshaminy Manor is bound generally by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987 ("OBRA") and the Federal Nursing Home Reform Act ("FNHRA"),

7

which was and is contained within the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1987. See 42 U.S.C. § 1396r.

- 56. Defendant Neshaminy Manor is also bound generally by OBRA/FNHRA implementing regulations found at 42 C.F.R. § 483, et seq., which served to define specific statutory rights set forth in the above-mentioned statutes.
- 57. The specific detailed regulatory provisions, as well as the statutes in question, create rights which are enforceable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, as the language of these regulations and statutory provisions clearly and unambiguously creates those rights.
- 58. Upon information and belief, Defendant Neshaminy Manor, as a custom and policy: failed to adhere to the above statutes and regulations; and/or in the alternative, failed to implement and follow appropriate custom and policies; and/or in the alternative, had unwritten customs and policies that did not adhere to the applicable statutes and regulations.
- 59. Defendant Neshaminy Manor, in derogation of the above statutes and regulations, and as a custom and policy, failed to comply with the aforementioned regulations, as follows:
 - a. By failing, as a custom and policy, to care for patients, including Elaine Brown, in a manner that promoted maintenance or enhancement of her life, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.15 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(1)(A);
 - b. By failing, as a custom and policy, to promote the care of patients, including Elaine Brown, in a manner and in an environment that maintained or enhanced her dignity, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.15 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(1)(A);
 - c. By failing, as a custom and policy, to develop a comprehensive care plan for residents, including Elaine Brown, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.20 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(2)(A);
 - d. By failing, as a custom and policy, to provide residents, including Elaine Brown, the necessary care and services to allow her to attain

- or maintain the highest practicable physical, mental and psychosocial wellbeing, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.25 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(3)(A);
- e. By failing, as a custom and policy, to periodically review and revise a patient's or resident's written plans of care, including Elaine Brown, by an interdisciplinary team after each of the resident's or patient's assessments, as described by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(3)(A), as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(2)(C);
- f. By failing, as a custom and policy, to conduct an assessment of a patient or resident, such as Elaine Brown, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(3)(A), promptly after a significant change in the resident's physical or mental condition as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(3)(C)(i)(ii);
- g. By failing, as a custom and policy, to use the results of the assessments required as described above in developing, reviewing and revising Elaine Brown's plans of care, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(3)(D);
- h. By failing, as a custom and policy, to ensure that patients or residents, including Elaine Brown, were provided medically related social services to attain or maintain the highest practicable physical, mental and psycho-social wellbeing, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.45 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(4)(ii);
- i. By failing, as a custom and policy, to ensure that an ongoing program, directed by a qualified professional, of activities designed to meet the interests and the physical, mental and psycho-social wellbeing of each resident or patient, including Elaine Brown, was implemented, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.40 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(4)(A)(v);
- j. By failing, as a custom and policy, to ensure that the personnel responsible for the care of residents were properly certified and/or re-certified as being qualified to perform necessary nursing services, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(4)(B);
- k. By failing, as a custom and policy, to provide sufficient nursing staff to provide nursing and related services that would allow patients or residents, including Elaine Brown, to attain or maintain the highest practicable physical, mental and psycho-social well-

- being, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.35 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(4)(C);
- By failing, as a custom and policy, to maintain clinical records on all residents, including Elaine Brown, including but not limited to the plans of care and resident's risk assessments, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(b)(6)(C);
- m. By failing, as a custom and policy, to ensure that the Neshaminy Manor facility was administered in a manner that enabled it to use its resources effectively and efficiently to allow patients or residents, including Elaine Brown, to attain or maintain their highest practicable level of physical, mental and psycho-social wellbeing, as required by 42 C.F.R. § 483.75, 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(d)(A) and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(d)(A) and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(d)(1)(C);
- n. By failing, as a custom and policy, to ensure that the administrator of Neshaminy Manor met the standards established under 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(f)(4), as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(d)(1)(C);
- o. By failing, as a custom and policy, to ensure that Neshaminy Manor was complying the federal, state, local laws and accepted professional standards which apply to professionals providing services to residents, including Elaine Brown, and in operating such a facility as Neshaminy Manor, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(d)(4)(A); and,
- p. By failing, as a custom and policy, to ensure that Neshaminy Manor's administrator and director of nursing properly monitored and supervised subordinate staff, thereby failing to ensure the health and safety of residents or patients, including Elaine Brown, in derogation of 42 C.F.R. § 483.75 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396r(a)(W).
- 60. As a proximate result of Defendant's actionable derogation of its regulatory and statutory responsibilities as above-described, Plaintiff's Decedent, Elaine Brown, was injured as previously referenced, and suffering pain, distress and death as a result of the poor care and treatment which allowed her to develop the various conditions described herein. As such,

Plaintiff has suffered, and is entitled to recover the following damages, as well as an award of reasonable counsel fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 42 U.S.C. § 1988:

- a. Money for funeral and estate expenses incurred because of the death of the Decedent;
- b. Damages for the lost services, assistance, guidance, counseling, companionship and society of Decedent;
- c. Financial support and all pecuniary benefits which they would have received from the Decedent;
- d. The expenses of administration; and,
- e. Other losses and damages permitted by law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Beth E. Doyle, Individually and on behalf of the Estate of Elaine Brown, Deceased, demands compensatory and consequential damages from the Defendant in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional arbitration limits, together with interest, costs of suit, and any other relief the Honorable Court deems appropriate to recover for which the suit is filed.

COUNT II

Deprivation of Civil Rights Enforceable via 42 U.S.C. §1983 - Survival

- 61. All preceding paragraphs of the Complaint are incorporated herein, as if set forth more fully at length.
- 62. As a proximate result of the Defendant's actionable derogation of its regulatory and statutory responsibilities as above-described, Plaintiff's Decedent was injured as previously referenced and suffered pain, distress and death as a result of poor care and treatment given to Elaine Brown allowing her to develop the conditions as referenced herein. As such, Plaintiff has

suffered, and is entitled to recover the following damages, as well as an award of reasonable counsel fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983 and 1988:

- a. Pain, suffering inconvenience, anxiety and nervousness of Elaine Brown until the time of her death;
- b. Hospital, medical, surgical and nursing expenses incurred on Plaintiff's Decedent's behalf; and,
- c. Other losses and damages permitted by law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Beth E. Doyle, Individually and on behalf of the Estate of Elaine Brown, Deceased, demands compensatory and consequential damages from the Defendant in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional arbitration limits, together with interest, costs of suit, and any other relief the Honorable Court deems appropriate to recover for which the suit is filed.

A JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT PEIRCE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

D. AARON RIHN, ESQUIRE

Counsel for Plaintiff

Pa. I.D. No.: 85752 2500 Gulf Tower

707 Grant Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

(412) 281-7229